FBI REVIEW COMPLETED - 19 Aug 03

27 June 1946

HEMORANDUM FOR GENERAL VANDEMBERG

SUBJECT:

Comments by F.B.I. on C.I.G. 10

ENGLOSURE: Letter from Mr. J. Edgar Heaver, dated 25 June

The following comments and recommendations are submitted regarding the designated paragraphs of the enclosed letter:

I'd rerespent: The President's letter of 22 Jamery authorized the W.I.A. to plan, develop and coordinate "all Federal foreign intelligence activities \* \* \* related to the national sequility." It is therefore proper for the H.I.A. to delegate this authorit to the Director of Central Intelligence as worked in C.I.Ji. 10. Mr. Hoover's wording would preclude the Director from coordinat ing any foreign intelligence activities, such as collection, research, analysis and dissemination, conducted in the U.S. or its possessions. For example, research activities of State, Mar an Mayy in Washington would not be subject to the Director's coord nation under Mr. Hoover's wording.

Recommendation: That paragraph 3 of C.I.G. 10 remain as written.

Ath nevertaris Mr. Heover's wording makes it clear that C.I.C. esplanage and counter espienage operations will not be conducted within the U. S. or its possessions. This is proper, since paragraph 9 of the President's letter precludes N.I.A. and C.I.G. from making investigations inside the U.S. and its pessessions. Moreover, it was intended that C.I.G. claudestine operations be conducted only in foreign countries.

Resonmendations That Mr. Hoover's wording be scoopted, with the addition of the word "organized" before "Federal".

1th paragraph: Mr. Heaver is incorrect if he interprets the President's letter to mean that the only relations of M.I.A. with departments other than State, War and Mavy are for the furnishing to N.I.A. of intelligence information. As quoted above, the authority of the N.I.A. covers "all Federal foreign intelligence astivities " " " related to the national security." It is true. however, that the N.I.A. earnot use personnel of a department ether than State. War and Havy without permission of that department.

FBI REVIEW COMPLETED - 19 Aug 03

TOP SECRE

Becommendation: That the following phrase be added to the sentence in question: "as mutually agreed to by the Director of Central Intelligence and authorised departmental officials."

ith nevertable Recommend that you concur with the first sentence in this paragraph, but suggest that F.B.I. withdrawal he phased with C.I.Q. ability to begin operations in Gentral and South America, and that the way be left open to use qualified F.E.I. personnel as mutually agreed upon.

"SIGNED"

JAMES S. LAY, JR. Secretary, N.I.A.

JSL: IH

## TOP SECRET

June 25, 1946

STRUCTLY CONTIDENTIAL BY SPRUIAL MESERNER

Lieutenant General Royt S. Varmenberg Director Central Intelligence droup Rosm 2166 New War Department Building 21st and Virginia Avenue, N. W. Washington, D. C.

Dear General Vandenberg:

Reference is made to the memorandum by the Birector of Central Intelligence dated June 20, 1946, emittled, "Puretiens of the Birector of Central Intelligence." To this memorandum was attached a proposed Directive for the Bational Intelligence Authority detailing certain additional functions for the Birector of Central Intelligence, including undertaking basic restance and analysis, conducting all faderal foreign espionage and counterespions e, and the conducting of federal monitoring of press and propaganda broadces a of foreign powers.

I desire to indicate by approval of this Directive with the exception that the following changes be used in it. These changes are suggested for clarification purposes only.

I suggest that Section 3 should read: "In addition to the function specified in Paragraph 3b of the President's letter, the Miredor of Central Intelligence is hereby directed to act as the executive agent of this Authority in secretaring and supervising all federal intelligence activities performed outside the United States and its possessions relating to the national security in accordance with the overall policies and objectives established by this

It is suggested that Sub A under Section 4 should rend: \*Confuc. all federal espionage and counterespionage operations cutside the United trate and its possessions for the collection of foreign intelligence information required for the national security.\*

It is noted in the "Discussion" which is carried as Appendix """ to the suggested Directive that the statement is made concerning the need

## Approved For Release 2003/09/02 : CIA-RDP80R01731R00354034061840

for one agency to handle world-wide coverage that, "This does not, of course, preclude the use of specialised departmental personnel unior rigid central sentral." It occurs to me that this statement is probably based upon an erroneous interpretation of the President's letter of January 22, 1946. It is my understanding from a reading of this directive that authority is given to the Mational Intelligence Authority to call upon the State, war, and Many Departments to assign from time to time persons and facilities of their respective departments to work under the direction of the lines of their respective departments to work under the direction of the lines of Central Intelligence. As for other departments and agencies, the direction we merely calls for the furnishing by those agencies of "such intelligence information relating to the national security as is in their possession."

If this Directive is approved, it would appear to me that there would be no reason why the Federal Bureau of Investigation should not with draw as rapidly as possible from Central and South America. I would appreciate it very much if you would advise me as to whether or not you cone a with this interpretation by me.

With best wishes and kind regards,

Sincerely years,

"SIGNED"

J. EDGAR HOOVER